



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/084,662 50212-358 02/28/2002 Shunsuke Sato 2508 09/24/2003 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY **EXAMINER** 600 13th Street, N.W. PHAN, THANH S Washington, DC 20005-3096 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2841
DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/084,662 | SATO ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thanh S Phan | 2841 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper repl h places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the con | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final originally set in the final | on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | |
| (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) M they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | S. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 3. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5, 8-20. | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) // | | | |
| 0. Other: | | DIM | M. · |
| | SU | DAVID MARTI PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT | EXAMINER |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/084,662

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's newly claimed thickness and location of the support structures neccessitated further search and/or reconsideration..